



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/803,729	03/18/2004	Dana Marie Walker	100041-41193 6036		
7590 01/27/2005			EXAMINER		
MARK P. LEVY, ESQ.			CARTER, MONICA SMITH		
THOMPSON HINE LLP 2000 COURTHOUSE PLAZA NE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
10 W. SECOND STREET			3722		
DAYTON, OH	1 45402-1758		DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 0 11 41	NI -	(A. 1)				
Office Action Summan		Application		Applicant(s)				
		10/803,72	9	WALKER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Monica S.		3722				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no eve eply within the statu d will apply and wil ute, cause the appl	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timety. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09/	/07/04.						
=	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from cor						
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		•				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have beer nts have beer iority docume au (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Application nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment	:(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
3) 🔲 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 r No(s)/Mail Date	8)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/803,729

Art Unit: 3722

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 7-14, 16-22, 25-32 and 34-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Attachment A (submitted by applicant, hereinafter "A") or Attachment B (submitted by applicant, hereinafter "B") in view of Lang (2002/0113426 A1).

A or B discloses a front cover having a plurality of openings located generally adjacent to a binding edge of the front cover; a back cover having a plurality of openings located generally adjacent to a binding edge of the back cover; a plurality of sheets of paper each having a plurality of openings located generally adjacent to a binding edge thereof; a twin-wire binding having a plurality of turns, wherein each of the plurality of openings of the front cover, the back cover, and the plurality of sheets of paper receives a turn of the binding therethrough to bind said front cover, the back cover, and the plurality of sheets of paper together; and a binding guard having a first edge and a second edge, wherein the first edge is fixedly attached to the front cover generally adjacent to the binding edge of the back cover such that the guard generally covers an exposed portion of the binding.

A or B discloses the claimed invention except for explicitly disclosing the binding being generally a helical binding coil.

Lang discloses a spiral-bound or helical-coil bound scuba checklist (see figures 1 and 2). Lang discloses that "Spiral binding is a very popular, well-known, conventional binding system, commonly used in situations in which the binding is meant to be permanent, without the need to add additional pages at a later date." (see [0036]). Lang teaches that helical-coil binding is an equivalent structure known in the art. Therefore, because these two binding methods were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute helical-coil binding for twin-wire binding.

Regarding claim 2, A or B, as modified by Lang, disclose the coil bound notebook wherein the front cover, the back cover, and the plurality of sheets of paper are each generally rectangular in front view and are generally aligned.

Regarding claim 3, A or B, as modified by Lang, disclose the coil bound notebook wherein each of the front cover, back cover, and plurality of sheets of paper include a free edge oriented generally parallel to the associated binding edge and located on an opposite side thereof.

Regarding claims 4 and 22, A or B, as modified by Lang, discloses the claimed invention except for the sheets of paper being cellulose-based material. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide any required material for the sheet of paper, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of

its suitability for the intended use as a matter of design choice. *In re Leshin,* 125 USPQ 416.

Regarding claim 7, A or B, as modified by Lang, disclose the coil bound notebook wherein the plurality of openings on the front cover, the back cover, and the plurality of sheets of paper each include at least ten openings arranged generally linearly to form a line that is generally parallel to, and extends along, the associated binding edge.

Regarding claim 8, A or B, as modified by Lang, disclose the coil bound notebook wherein each opening of one of the front cover, back cover, or plurality of sheets of paper are spaced from one another by a uniform distance.

Regarding claim 9, A or B, as modified by Lang, disclose the coil bound notebook wherein the wire guard is attached to front cover and the back cover by stitches extending through the wire guard and at least partially through the associated one of the front or back cover.

Regarding claim 10, A or B, as modified by Lang, disclose the coil bound notebook wherein the notebook is moveable to a closed position such that the front cover and the back cover are generally parallel and facing each other and wherein the plurality of sheets of paper are located therebetween.

Regarding claim 11, A or B, as modified by Lang, disclose the coil bound notebook wherein the wire guard is pulled tight against the helical binding coil when the notebook is in the closed position.

Regarding claim 12, A or B, as modified by Lang, disclose the coil bound notebook of claim 1 wherein the front cover and the back cover each have at least one of a thickness or stiffness that is greater than a sheet of said plurality of sheets of paper.

Regarding claim 13, A or B, as modified by Lang, disclose the coil bound notebook wherein the wire guard extends generally an entire length of the binding edge of the front cover and the back cover.

Regarding claim 14, A or B, as modified by Lang, disclose the coil bound notebook wherein the wire guard is generally flexible.

Regarding claim 16, A or B, as modified by Lang, disclose the coil bound notebook wherein the binding coil is a generally continuous single element formed in a helical shape.

Regarding claim 17, A or B, as modified by Lang, disclose the coil bound notebook wherein the first edge and the second edge of the wire guard are generally not visible when the notebook is in a closed position such that the front cover and back cover are generally parallel and facing each other with the plurality of sheets located between to provide a hidden seam.

Regarding claims 18-21, 25-32 and 34-38, the method for making a notebook is inherently disclosed in the above rejections.

5. Claims 5, 6, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over A or B in view of Lang and further in view of Dorsey (2003/0021624).

Application/Control Number: 10/803,729

Art Unit: 3722

A or B, as modified by Lang, discloses the claimed invention except for the sheets of paper including a tear guide line extending generally parallel to the binding edge and holes being located generally adjacent to the binding edge and located generally inwardly of the tear guide line.

Dorsey discloses a notebook having perforations (12a, 12b, 12c) in the front cover (4), rear cover (6) and individual pages (9) spaced from the binding means (10), wherein the pages have holes located generally adjacent the biding edge inwardly of the perforations (see figure 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the sheets of paper of A or B to include perforations, as taught by Dorsey, to enable the sheets of paper to be torn away from the notebook and discarded or used separately from the notebook.

6. Claims 15 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over A or B in view of Lang and further in view of Su (6,612,771).

A or B, as modified by Lang, discloses the claimed invention except for the notebook having a loop shaped to receive a writing instrument.

Su discloses a loose leaf-binding device having a loop (35) attached to the back cover (30) of the notebook for receiving a writing instrument (40a). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the notebook of A or B to include a loop for receiving a writing instrument, as taught by Su, to include a writing instrument integral with the notebook.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed September 7, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Attachments A and B fail to disclose helical coils for the binding mechanism. The examiner asserts that while A and B fail to explicitly disclose using helical coils for the binding mechanism, Lang discloses a notebook having conventional helical coils for its binding mechanism. Therefore, because these two binding methods were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute helical-coil binding for twin-wire binding.

For the reasons as set forth above, the rejections are maintained.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/803,729 Page 8

Art Unit: 3722

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica S. Carter whose telephone number is (571) 272-4475. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (6:00 AM - 3:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (571) 272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

January 25, 2005

MONICA S. CARTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER